	4	
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
LEON DAVIS, JR.,	No. 2:20-cv-01393-TLN-AC	
Plaintiff,		
v.	ORDER	
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF		
17		
Plaintiff Leon Davis, Jr. ("Plaintiff"), an individual proceeding <i>pro se</i> , filed the above-		
entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local		
On August 26, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein		
which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the		
findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff		
has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. <i>See Orand v.</i>		
United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are		
reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.		
1983); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).		
	EASTERN DISTE LEON DAVIS, JR., Plaintiff, v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, Defendant. Plaintiff Leon Davis, Jr. ("Plaintiff"), a entitled action. The matter was referred to a U Rule 302(c)(21). On August 26, 2020, the magistrate jue which were served on Plaintiff and which con findings and recommendations were to be filed has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations to the findings	

Case 2:20-cv-01393-TLN-AC Document 7 Filed 10/07/20 Page 2 of 2 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 26, 2020 (ECF No. 6), are ADOPTED IN FULL; 2. The pending motion (ECF No. 5) is DENIED as moot; and 3. This case is DISMISSED for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: October 6, 2020 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge